Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

He-Lian Chen and Hong-Wu He*

Key Laboratory of Pesticide and Chemical Biology, College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: he1208@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 292 KMean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.052 wR factor = 0.172 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

5-Methyl-2-(2-nitrophenyloxy)-3-*p*-tolyl-8,9,10,11-tetrahydrobenzo[4',5']thieno-[3',4':5,6]pyrido[4,3-*d*]pyrimidin-4(3*H*)-one

In the title compound, $C_{27}H_{23}N_4O_4S$, the three fused heterocyclic rings are essentially coplanar. The crystal stacking is mainly due to $\pi - \pi$ interactions and intermolecular $C - H \cdots O$ hydrogen-bonding interactions. Received 28 November 2005 Accepted 6 December 2005 Online 14 December 2005

Comment

Among many known heterocyclic compounds, derivatives containing pyridine groups have received much attention since they possess significant biological activity (Augusto *et al.*, 1995). The title compound, (I), belongs to this family of heterocyclic compounds and we present its crystal structure here.



In (I) (Fig. 1), the C–S bond lengths are longer than those observed in free thiophene [1.714 (3) Å; Bonham & Momany, 1963] and thieno-[2,3-c]-pyridine [1.728 (1) and 1.731 (1) Å; Nerenz *et al.*, 1997]. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 1. The C8–S1–C1 angle in (I) is slightly less than that observed in free thiophene [92.2 (2)°]. The C6–C7–C8–N1 and C14–C11–C12–C7 torsion angles (Table 1) indicate the coplanarity of the three fused heterocyclic rings.

The short intermolecular distances between the centroids of the thiophene (*Cg*1) and pyrimidine (*Cg*3) rings [*Cg*1...*Cg*3ⁱ = 3.7496 (16) Å; symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{3}{2} - y, -z$] indicate the existence of π - π stacking interactions (Janiak, 2000), which, together with hydrogen-bonding interactions (Table 2), stabilize the crystal packing (Fig. 2)

Experimental

2-Methyl-4-amino-3-ethoxycarbonyl-5,6,7,8-(4*H*)-tetrahydrobenzo-[4',5']thieno-[2,3-b]-pyridine, (II), was prepared according to literature procedures in 90% yield (Augusto *et al.*, 1995). The iminophosphorane of (II) was synthesized according to the literature procedure of Wamhoff *et al.* (1993) in 82% yield.

To a solution of the iminophosphorane of (II) (1 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml) and a catalytic amount of K_2CO_3 (0.05 mmol) was

organic papers

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved



Figure 1

View of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme for the non-H atoms and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.



Figure 2

The crystal structure of (I), showing the formation of $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds (dashed lines).

added 4-methylphenyl isocyanate (1.1 mmol) (Ding *et al.*, 1999). After the reaction mixture had been left to stand for 6 h, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and Et_2O /petroleum ether was added to precipitate the side-product triphenylphosphine oxide which was then removed by filtration. Subsequent removal of the solvent gave the corresponding carbodiimide, which was used directly without further purification.

To a solution of the carbodiimide in ethanol (15 ml) was added 2nitrophenol (1.1 mmol) and a catalytic amount of sodium ethoxide in ethanol (Wang *et al.*, 2004). After the mixture had been stirred for 12 h at 300 K, the solution was concentrated and the residue was recrystallized from CH₃CN to give colourless blocks of the title compound (I) in one week.

Crystal data

| | 2 |
|-------------------------------|---|
| $C_{27}H_{22}N_4O_4S$ | $D_x = 1.378 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ |
| $M_r = 498.55$ | Mo $K\alpha$ radiation |
| Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ | Cell parameters from 4220 |
| a = 9.1381 (8) Å | reflections |
| b = 17.0819 (14) Å | $\theta = 1.8-25.0^{\circ}$ |
| c = 16.0332 (14) Å | $\mu = 0.18 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\beta = 106.203 (2)^{\circ}$ | T = 292 (2) K |
| V = 2403.3 (4) Å ³ | Block, colourless |
| Z = 4 | $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ |
| | |

Data collection

340 parameters

| Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2000) $T_{min} = 0.949, T_{max} = 0.983$ 12011 measured reflections | 4220 independent reflections 2922 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.040$ $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -20 \rightarrow 19$ $l = -19 \rightarrow 9$ |
|--|---|
| Refinement | |
| Refinement on F^2 | $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0911P)^2$ |
| R[F > 20(F)] = 0.052 wR(F ²) = 0.172 | where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ |
| S = 1.08 | $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.042$ |
| 4220 reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.28 \ {\rm e \ A^{-3}}$ |

| Table 1 | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Selected geometric parameters | (Å, | °). |

H-atom parameters constrained

| C1-S1 | 1.747 (3) | C8-S1 | 1.734 (3) |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| C8-S1-C1 | 90.68 (13) | | |
| C1-C2-C3-C4 C1-C2-C3'-C4' | 45.2 (9) -45.2 (12) | C6-C7-C8-N1 C14-C11-C12-C7 | -177.3 (3) -178.7 (2) |

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 Table 2

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

| $D-H\cdots A$ | D-H | $H \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | | |
|---|------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| $C19-H19\cdotsO1^{i}$ | 0.93 | 2.43 | 3.256 (4) | 148 | | |
| Symmetry code: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ | | | | | | |

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C–H distances of 0.93–0.97 Å, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support of this work by the National Basic Research Programme of China (grant No. 2003CB114400) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20372023).

References

- Augusto, C. Y., Rosella, C. & Carlo, F. M. (1995). *Tetrahedron*, **51**, 12277–12284.
- Bonham, R. A. & Momany, F. A. (1963). J. Phys. Chem. 67, 2474–2477.
- Bruker (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Bruker (2000). SMART (Version 5.059), SAINT (Version 6.01) and SADABS (Version 6.10). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Ding, M. W., Xu, Z. F. & Wang, T. J. (1999). Synth. Commun. 29, 1171–1176. Ghosh, R. & Simonsen, S. H.(1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1031–1032. Janiak, C. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3885–3896. Nerenz, H., Grahn, W. & Jones, P. G. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 787–789. Sheldrick, G. M.(1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Wamhoff, H., Herrmann, S., Stölben, S. (1993). *Tetrahedron*, 49, 581–583.
Wang, H. Q., Liu, Z. J., Yang, L. M. & Ding, M. W. (2004). *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* 41, 393–397.